



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

62  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/719,705	12/13/2000	Alain Emile fracois Roesgen	DN1998090USA	3268
7590	01/07/2004			
Howard M Cohn Robert W Brown Dept 823 The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company 1144 East Market Street Akron, OH 44316-0001				
			EXAMINER KNABLE, GEOFFREY L	
			ART UNIT 1733	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Advisory Action**

Application No.

09/719,705

Applicant(s)

ROESGEN, ALAIN EMILE  
FRACOIS

Examiner

Geoffrey L. Knable

Art Unit

1733

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**PERIOD FOR REPLY** [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☐ The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
b) ☒ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  
(a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  
(c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.  
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:


Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,5,8 and 9.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-20.

8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.  
10. ☒ Other: Note: new examiner phone number: 571-272-1220

  
Geoffrey L. Knable  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1733

009/719,705

Continuation of 2. NOTE: new issues are raised as the amendment cannot be entered as it does not conform to the revised rule 1.121 requirements. In particular, (1) the status identifier "previously amended" is not permitted (it must state "previously presented"); (2) canceled claims were not mentioned - they must be indicated by claim number and status (i.e. canceled) but not the claim text; (3) the text of withdrawn claims must also be presented (with status identified "withdrawn").

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 35 USC 112 1st and 2nd paragraph rejections from the last office action (if the amendment were in proper format and entered).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. It should also be noted that the argument that the cords in Cluzel (US 5,996,662) are at 15-35 degrees rather than 0 to 5 degrees is referring to the wrong ply - i is hooping ply "20" that is being compared to the claimed underlay, not working plies 21-22; i.e. it is working plies 21 and 22 that are at 15-35 degrees, not hooping ply 20..